





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/769,579	01/25/2001	Hector F. DeLuca	960296.95700	4517	
75	90 09/12/2002				
Jean C. Baker			EXAMINER		
Quarles and Bra 411 East Wisco			SHARAREH, SHAHNAM J		
Milwaukee, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617	1617	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advis ry Action	09/769,579	DELUCA ET AL.				
Advis Ty Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shahnam Sharareh	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicate) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		·				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $___$ is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						
		RUSSELL TRAVERS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800				
		` /				

*Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment changes the scope of the claim, requiring further consideration. In addition, it introduces new issues to the extent that there is not adequate support for the claimed method of eliminating the onset of diabetes in a human pateint.